

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 09 2008

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MAURICIO ROSALES-GONZALEZ,
also known as Chaparro; et al.,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-30062

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

D.C. No. CR-06-00198-022-RSL

MEMORANDUM^{*}

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Washington
Robert S. Lasnik, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 26, 2008^{**}

Before: SCHROEDER, KLEINFELD, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Mauricio Rosales-Gonzalez appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and 70-month sentence for conspiracy to distribute cocaine, heroin, and

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C) and 846.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Rosales-Gonzalez's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no arguable grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.